Improving the Efficiency of the U.S. CPI

Paul A. Armknecht¹

Abstract: In this paper some of the practical issues related to improving the U.S. Consumer Price Index (CPI) are discussed. The general problem of choosing an appropriate price index number formula is presented and both the axiomatic and economic theoretic approaches are used to examine the problem. An argument is presented for the use of Törnqvist and geometric formulations to resolve the current problems of formula bias. Potential sources of cost savings related to probability sampling are discussed and various methods of quality adjustment currently used in the CPI are evaluated. The paper concludes with a number of recommendations that involve statistical policy actions that are necessary to achieve the efficiencies and improvements suggested.

1. Introduction

The concern in recent years that the U.S. CPI may overstate the actual rate of consumer inflation has entered the political arena where a cost conscious Congress and Administration are looking for every opportunity to lower Federal expenditures in an effort to reach a balanced budget. The fact that the CPI might overstate the rate of inflation as measured by a true cost-of-living index appears to be a revelation in this period of fiscal austerity. The fact of the matter is that the economic literature has noted for decades that this is the case. It has only been in the past three or four years that an ongoing debate has raged concerning the extent and magnitude of the overstatement. Research by the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS), the Federal Reserve Board, the Congressional Budget Office, and private sector economists has tried to document the sources of the differences and estimate the bounds of the overstatement. Hearings held by the U.S. Senate Committee on Finance (1995) provide considerable testimony from a variety of well-recognized authorities on this subject.

This paper is not intended to add to that literature. The purpose of this paper is to help frame an understanding of how and why price index measures will differ and to offer some constructive ideas that will provide opportunities for improving the U.S. CPI in terms of its measurement objective and cost effectiveness without having substantial adverse effects in terms of statistical efficiency and quality. The views presented in this document are based on my experience from having worked on the U.S. CPI for a number of years and are offered as a professional appraisal of difficult issues that can be addressed in a variety of ways.

The organization of the paper is as follows. Section 2 discusses the U.S. CPI, what it measures, how it differs from a cost-of-living index, and, with a simplified example, how different index measures give substantially different results. Section 3 offers a brief discussion of price index numbers at the elementary aggregate level. Section 4 discusses the CPI sample design and its implementation with some thoughts on alternative approaches that may offer cost savings. Section 5 presents information on quality adjustments of price observations in the CPI and areas for further research. Section 6 concludes with a summary and offers several potential solutions that have statistical policy implications.

The author is a consultant with the Statistics Department of the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and former Assistant Commissioner for Consumer Prices with the Bureau of Labor Statistics. The views expressed in this paper are solely those of the author and do not represent those of the IMF. The author wishes to thank Brent Moulton, Marshall Reinsdorf, Mary Kokoski, and Walter Lane for the helpful comments that they provided on the factual material presented. Any errors or issues related to policy changes are those of the author only. A more elaborate version of this paper can be obtained from the autor on request.

2. The CPI and the Cost of Living

Ideally, the CPI would measure changes in the cost of living for the U.S. urban population. At least this is what many users of the CPI believe it does. Unfortunately for these users, this is not exactly the case. The concept of the cost of living is intrinsically tied to consumer well being which changes over time as do consumers' tastes, preferences and relative income. The U.S. CPI, along with similar measures produced by most industrialized countries, can only provide an approximation to a true cost of living measure. Is it the best approximation? Are there other measures that may be appropriate? This section of the paper explores this issue and offers several alternative measures that users of the CPI may find better meets their needs.

2a. What the CPI actually measures

As consumers, most of us are aware of the day-to-day expenditures we make in order to live in a life style we can afford. One would think that keeping track of the cost of living would be fairly simple--compare this month's expenses with last month's and the change is the change in the cost of living. Immediately we begin questioning this approach because expenditures vary from month to month and we are not buying the same things through time. While there are standard items that we purchase regularly each month, there are also a number of items such as clothing and durable goods that we only purchase periodically. We begin looking at a longer period over which to gauge expenditures, say a year, but even then we note that there are changes. Many durable goods such as automobiles and appliances are purchased less frequently, so we look at purchases over a longer period of two or three years.

The CPI uses a simplified approach to measuring changes in living costs. It takes expenditures for a fixed market basket of goods and services at some point in the past (called a base period) and estimates what it would cost today to purchase that same market basket. The market basket consists of total expenditures on consumption items by all urban consumers. It represents aggregate expenditures across the entire urban population; it is not necessarily representative of any particular consumer or group of consumers. BLS uses scientific sampling techniques to select specific items and to measure the change in prices of these items over time. The price changes in this sample provide a measure over time of the average change in prices of goods and services included in the market basket when purchased in the same quantities as in the base period. The CPI attempts to measure what it costs today to purchase the same market basket consumers purchased in the 1982-84 base period.

2b. The CPI and a Cost-of-Living Index (CLI)

A true cost-of-living index (CLI) would be much more inclusive than the CPI. The primary conceptual difference between the two is that the CPI only measures the change in cost of market traded goods and services, while a CLI would extend household preferences to choices between work and leisure, and include the effects of government goods and services as well as the effects of the environment on consumer welfare. The CPI might be viewed as a subcomponent of the CLI that measures changes in pure prices of marketed goods and services. To measure pure price change, the quantities and quality of the items purchased must be kept fixed or the resulting measure will reflect changes in these two factors also. Thus, the CPI uses a Laspeyres index in which quantities are fixed during the base period. We can't use current quantities because the data are not available for the current year. Even if we did have current quantities and wanted to use them instead of base period quantities, we would have to revise our indexes back through time to reflect the current quantity weights in previous periods to make the series comparable across periods. Otherwise, changes in the index would reflect both price and quantity

changes.

A problem with the Laspeyres approach is that we don't always buy the same quantities that were purchased in the base period. The economic theory of the cost of living, where consumers hold utility constant, is helpful in analyzing this situation. Consumers make purchases of goods and services to satisfy wants and needs for day-to-day living. There are a variety of goods and services they can purchase to meet this objective, but there is also the constraint of the income they have available. Rational consumers will make choices that give them the highest levels of satisfaction given their current income levels and the prices of goods and services that they can purchase. If consumers are indifferent between purchases of some items that yield the same level of satisfaction, they will substitute these items to choose the lowest cost combination. For example, if bananas, oranges and apples are types of fresh fruits I enjoy eating, I will purchase different amounts from period to period based on the relative prices of each. If bananas are \$.50 per pound, apples \$.25 per pound and oranges \$.20 per pound, I may purchase larger quantities of oranges and apples than bananas. If prices change so that apples are \$.50 per pound, bananas \$.25 per pound and oranges \$.20 per pound, I may buy more bananas and oranges than apples. If I originally purchased 1 pound of bananas, 2 pounds of apples and 2 pounds of oranges, my fresh fruit expenditures would have been \$1.40 (\$.50 + \$.50 + \$.40). If I now purchase one pound of apples and two pounds each of bananas and oranges to attain the same satisfaction, my fresh fruit expenditures are still the same. Using a fixed market basket approach, my estimated expenditures would be \$1.65 (\$1.00 for apples, \$.25 for bananas and \$.40 for oranges). This example illustrates the difference between a fixed market basket measure where quantities stay fixed and a cost of living approach where consumer satisfaction is fixed and quantities can vary in response to price change.

In addition, consumer purchasing patterns will change because our tastes for items change as styles or new fads emerge. Also, the preferences we once had for certain items become less important and our purchases of other items become more important. For example, consumers in general are preparing fewer meals at home and having more meals outside the home. For a wide variety of reasons--hectic schedules, the growth of multiple worker families, the variety and convenience of fast food and ethnic restaurants, to name a few--families eat outside the home more frequently than in the past. The result is that the expenditures that used to be for groceries are now being used for meals at restaurants. Even within grocery store items, the types of products purchased have shifted from basic foods to be prepared in the home to pre-packaged prepared food to be heated and served at home. The traditional household production function for food preparation prevalent in earlier decades has changed gradually over time.

As real incomes rise, consumer choices may also change. In the CPI we assume that as incomes rise, households will purchase more of the exact same items in proportion to their base period quantities. If we continue to price the same market basket with the fixed quantity shares of goods and services, the resulting measure may not reflect what consumers are currently purchasing and, therefore, does not reflect the current purchasing pattern that consumers experience. One would expect that as household incomes rise purchasing patterns would shift to reflect more expensive items that offer higher levels of satisfaction. Neither the CPI nor a CLI necessarily should reflect these changes since they assume consumers maintain the same standard of living. The CPI reflects the same standard of living by measuring the change in cost of the original market basket, while the CLI reflects the least cost combination of goods and services at today's prices that maintain the same level of consumer satisfaction as the base period. As incomes rise, both measures assume that consumers spend the same proportion of their incomes on goods and services as they did in the base period.

2c. Updating the market basket every year

As the forgoing analysis indicates, changes in consumer living costs are the result of direct price change, changes in quantities purchased due to changes in relative prices, changes due to tastes and preferences and changes in real income. The Laspeyres price index includes only the first factor. The CLI should also include the effects of changes in relative prices. The last two factors (changes in tastes and income) often are reflective of changes in consumer satisfaction which the CLI holds constant. Each time a new market basket is used, any of these factors can result in changes. The factors thought to be the most prevalent are direct price change and the change in relative prices, while the other two are assumed to be minimal. Any time there are changes in consumption patterns due to income and tastes, the Laspeyres or other indices used to approximate a CLI would no longer be keeping consumer utility constant. Thus, an index in which the market basket is updated annually may not reflect changes in the true cost of living either. For example, changes in consumer spending patterns between 1994 and 1995 may not reflect much in the way of changes in preferences and income, but changes in spending patterns between 1984 and 1995 would include significant differences due to preferences and income.

Another factor affecting annual updates is that when new weights are introduced, there is the possibility that such a shift could cause an upward drift in the index following the change. A good example of this might occur when petroleum-based energy prices decline for a period and then suddenly begin to rise after the new weights are introduced. When prices were declining, consumers used greater and greater quantities of fuel-oil for home heating and traveled more by automobile, using more gasoline. The new weights would reflect larger quantities of these commodities. If, after the new weights are introduced, petroleum prices begin to rise, the aggregate consumer price index will rise more rapidly than before since gasoline and fuel oil now have more importance.

2d. The choice of index formula used to compute the CPI makes a difference in the results

Different formulas can deliver substantially different results when computing a price index. Consider the hypothetical information in Table 1 related to fresh fruits. Assume these represent actual purchases over a three year period, 1993-95. If we look only at prices, we observe that apples rise in price between 1993 and 1995 with the jump occurring in 1994. Banana prices decline and then return to their original price as do grapes. Oranges rise in price and return to their original price, while pears show a continuous decline in price. What has happened, in general to fresh fruit prices? The purpose of a price index is to try to represent the price experience for fresh fruits as a single number. To do this, quantities are held fixed and prices are allowed to vary. In this way, only prices change so that the index captures only price changes. If one compares expenditures over this period, it does not tell the true story about prices since expenditures are also affected by changes in quantities purchased. Consider two simple cases in which we use a fixed-weight index. First, one can compute an index in which the quantities purchased are held constant at the 1993 levels. Then one can compute how much it would cost in each consecutive year to purchase the same quantities. This is a Laspeyres price index. In 1993 the market basket of fresh fruit cost \$70.00. It would cost \$91.00 at 1994 prices to purchase the same amount of fresh fruit that was purchased in 1993 and \$85.00 to purchase the same fruit in 1995. The Laspeyres price index in each year is the ratio of these expenditures expressed as a percent. The index for 1993 is 100.00 (70/70 x 100); the 1994 index is 130.00 (91/70 x 100); and the 1995 index is 121.43 (85/70 x 100). What this demonstrates is that, on average, prices for fresh fruits would have risen by 30 percent in 1994 if I purchased the same amount of each fruit as in 1993. The index for 1995 indicates that fruit prices, on average, would have been 21.4 percent higher than in 1993. It also indicates that prices in 1995 declined by 8.6 index points or 6.6 percent (8.6/130 x 100) relative to prices in 1994 using fixed 1993 quantities.

One can also produce an index based on current year purchasing patterns. In this case we calculate how much it would have cost to purchase the same quantity of fresh fruit bought in the current year at the prices prevailing in the comparison year. This is a Paasche price index. In 1994 the expenditures were \$90 and it would have cost \$97.50 at 1993 prices. Similarly, the fruit purchases in 1995 were \$100.00, but would have cost \$110.00 at 1993 prices. The Paasche price indices are 92.31 (90/97.50x100) in 1994 and 90.91 (100/110 x 100) in 1995. This indicates that fresh fruit prices, on average, are lower today than in the two previous years and have been declining. Why is there such a difference between the Laspeyres and Paasche indices? It is basically the result of the different mix of fruits I bought in each period. This is seen when we examine the quantity shares for each period also presented in Table 1. In 1995, I purchased a larger amount of pears, which were more expensive in 1993, and fewer apples, which were less expensive. In 1993 apples represented 40 percent of the quantity of fresh fruit purchases and pears only 10 percent. In the Laspeyres index the doubling of apple prices between 1993 and 1995 receives 4 fold more weight than the 50 percent decline in pear prices. In 1995 pears represent 31 percent of the quantity of fresh fruits and apples only 15 percent. In the Paasche index the 50 percent decline in pear prices receives twice as much weight as the increase in apple prices.

The differences between the Laspeyres and Paasche price indexes also show that different economic assumptions are involved. The Laspeyres, with fixed quantity shares from the past, assumes that consumers want to purchase the same quantity levels as in the past and want to know how much more it would take to purchase that market basket today. The Paasche, with fixed quantity shares in the present, uses the current quantity levels and assumes consumers want to know how much today's purchases cost compared to what they would have cost in the past.

Another possible alternative is to keep the expenditure shares rather than quantity shares fixed for each type of fruit. Such an alternative assumes that when prices change, consumers can shift the quantities of each type of fruit purchased but still maintain the same fixed proportions for each type of fruit within their budget. For example, if one plans to spend \$20 on apples (from a budget of \$70 for fresh fruit) and apple prices rise from \$.25 per pound to \$.50 per pound, then one purchases only 40 pounds of apples (20/.50) instead of the original 80 pounds. Such behavior is the underlying assumption of the geometric mean formula for measuring price change.² The assumption of fixed expenditure shares at 1993 purchasing patterns and the use of the geometric mean price index results in yet another measure of price change. Between 1993 and 1994 prices rise by 8.76 percent with another rise in 1995 to a level 10.41 percent above 1993.

2e. Measures that come close to the true change in the cost of living

Given these somewhat disparate results, one begins to question whether there is really any measure that can approximate what the average change in the cost of living with respect to fruit might be. The changes shown by the Laspeyres and the Paasche indices appear to be extreme. In research into the economic theory surrounding the cost of living by Robert Pollak (1989) has demonstrated that under certain assumptions the Laspeyres and Paasche indices are upper and lower bounds, respectively, to a true costof-living index.³ Several works of W. Erwin Diewert (1976, 1989) have shown that a class of price indices, called superlative indices, are close approximations to the cost-of-living index. These indices incorporate both sets of consumer experience into the weighting structure of the price index. The two most frequently used superlative price indices are the Fisher Ideal and Törnqvist indices. The Fisher Ideal index is the geometric mean of the Laspeyres and Paasche indices. The Törnqvist index uses a geometric

 $^{^2}$ The geometric mean formula represents the situation in which the elasticity of substitution across products in the same group of products is -1. See Moulton (1993) pp. 15-16.

One key assumption is that consumers have homothetic preference functions, which is still a debated issue.

mean formula in which the weights are the average expenditure share over the two periods for which the index is computed. The results of using these two formulae are quite similar as seen in Table 1. The cost of living rises between 1993 and 1994 by 9.5 percent and in 1995 returns to a level about 5.1 percent higher than in 1993. These measures represent the state of the art in price index methodology. The advantage that these indices offer is that they incorporate the experiences of both the base period situation and the current period situation. This provides an intuitive understanding to their applicability beyond the formal mathematical solutions found in the literature.

2f. Use of chain indices

Another alternative that is often considered is the use of chain indices. Fixed-base indices such as those just discussed have a common point of comparison to which all price measures are related. Chain indices enable users to employ the most recent expenditure weights and include new commodities or services more readily. The chain version of an index uses the basic index formula in its core computation. The price relative is based on the current period price compared with a previous year price. The expenditure shares are for either the current year or previous year for Paasche and Laspeyres indices, respectively, and both years for the Törnqvist index. The chain Fisher index is computed directly as the geometric mean of the chain Laspeyres and chain Paasche indices. The major difference between fixed-base and chain indices is that the chain indices treat each year's change independently from the previous year and link the result onto the previous year's index.

The chain indices for the fresh fruit example show mixed results. The indices for 1995 are the first year in which chaining takes effect⁴. The Laspeyres and Paasche index levels move closer together. However, all the chain indices, except the Paasche, show more drastic declines from 1994 than the fixed-base versions. This occurs because the chain indices are reflecting weight changes in addition to price changes.

3. The elementary aggregaate price index formula used for the U.S. CPI

The formula used at the elementary aggregate level in the U.S. CPI is a Laspeyres formula similar to that used in many countries. There is, however, some ambiguity in the application of the Laspeyres formula. The ambiguity occurs with the price that is used. In most countries, the price represents an unweighted average price for a number of varieties selected to be representative of the item. For example, if the item in the CPI is whole milk and three varieties of whole milk have been selected for monthly pricing, the price used in the calculation of the index is the average price of the three varieties. The base period price represents the average price of these three varieties in the base period. Thus, the index is said to be computed as a ratio of average prices or what Diewert (1995) calls a Dutot index.

In the U.S. CPI, each price is the actual price of the variety that has been selected and is compared to the estimated base price of that variety in the base period. Each variety is assigned a sampling weight and the resulting index number is a weighted average of price relatives. Using the milk example, the U.S. CPI computes a price relative for each of the three varieties of milk and then calculates the index as a weighted average of these price relatives.⁵ An index which uses the average of price relatives is more

-

In the first year of a chain index there is no difference between the chain and fixed-base version since they are both linking onto the comparison point. It is only in the second period that the chain index uses a different comparison point.

The differences can be expressed by expanding the standard Laspeyres formula as follows: " $\sum (P_{i,o}/P_{i,o})W_{i,o}$ " versus " $\sum \sum (p_{v,i,v}/p_{v,i,t})W_{v,i,o}$ ", where P is the average price of the ith item and p is the price of the vth variety. In the first formula the summation is over items (i) and in the second formula the first summation is over varieties (v) and then over items (i).

volatile than an index that uses the ratio of average prices. Turvey, et. al. (1989) demonstrate this problem in the section on index computation and in their appendix 7 on computation of micro-level indices. Schultz (1995) and Moulton and Smedley (1995) provide simulations of these differences using data from the Canadian and U.S. CPIs, respectively. Diewert (1995) shows that the average of price relatives (Carli index) is upward biased in relation to the ratio of average prices (Dutot index) and the geometric mean (Jevons index). There is substantial evidence that the estimation formula used in the U.S. CPI is upward biased relative to other estimators. Due to this fact, Eurostat has notified member countries of the European Union (EU) to avoid using the ratio of price relatives technique in producing their CPIs. Eurostat recommends the use of the ratio of average prices or the geometric mean at the elementary aggregate level.

The choice between the ratio of averages or the geometric mean is not always clear cut. It can depend on the degree of homogeneity of the products or services that are included within the elementary aggregate index. If there are large price differences among the sampled products, higher price items implicitly have more weight than lower price items in a ratio of averages. This means that the price change of the higher price items has more influence on the average price change. The geometric mean of price relatives, on the other hand, treats each price observation equally. In addition, the ratio of geometric average prices is mathematically equivalent to the geometric mean of the price relatives. Diewert (1995) argues that very homogenous groupings can be obtained through the use of scanner data from many companies and that the unit value computed as the ratio of total sales of a specific product divided by the quantity sold over some specific time period, such as a week, is an appropriate average price to be used for micro-level price indices.

Practitioners in several countries have taken the other view point. Schultz (1995) presents the case that from a practical sampling point of view, most strata of items will contain a certain degree of heterogeneity and that the geometric mean is the more appropriate estimator at the micro-index level. This, and the fact that the geometric mean formula assumes a certain amount of substitution takes place, either across varieties or among outlets, are the two primary reasons Statistics Canada switched from using the ratio of average prices to the geometric mean for the calculation of micro-level indices in January 1995. Woolford (1994) provide similar reasoning for the shift to the use of a geometric mean in the Australian CPI. Viglino (1995) examines the case for using the geometric mean by INSEE in France. He suggests that the Divisia index may be the appropriate price index measure and the geometric mean is a better approximation of a Divisia index than is the Laspeyres index.

It is of interest to note that the ratio of average prices was used in the U.S. CPI prior to the 1978 revision. As part of that revision, the U.S. introduced full probability sampling throughout the entire statistical design--from the selection of geographic areas to the selection of outlets to the selection of representative items within outlets. There were several important factors that were considered in the decision to move to the average of price relatives formula. First, there was concern that probability sampling within outlet would result in a fairly heterogeneous sample within an item stratum. This would result in higher price items having greater influence. Second, the average of price relatives weighted by expenditures provided, for the first time, a modified Laspeyres index. The previous methodology used an expenditure weighted change in average prices which was not a true Laspeyres formulation, but rather, a weighted Dutot index. The new estimator would also allow for a consistent methodology for making quality adjustments. The previous method used the monthly price change in the average price from a matched sample of observations. When quality adjustments were made, the prices in each month reflected the quality difference, but there was no adjustment to the basic weights for this change which would take into

⁶ The modified Laspeyres index used in the U.S. is simply a weighted Carli index.

This situation has been discussed in a number of papers relating to harmonization of CPIs within the EU. A recent example is found in Eurostat (1995b) and Dalen (1994).

account the new level of quality in the base period expenditures for the price observation.⁸ As Reinsdorf (1994) points out, the shift to the new Laspeyres estimator has led to an upward drift in the U.S. indices in relation to changes in average prices.⁹

It would appear from the preponderance of evidence that a new estimator is in order. This will be discussed further in the last section on potential future directions for the U.S. CPI.

4. Probability Sampling in the U.S. CPI

The current budgetary climate of the Federal Government is to consolidate and reengineer programs in an effort to save taxpayer dollars. The CPI is one major statistical program that is being reviewed in an effort to streamline its operations while at the same make improvements to resolve the potential estimation bias. There may be several areas in which changes can be made and cost savings realized. Such changes could introduce some additional small amounts of bias in the CPI, but could also result in reductions in sampling variance. The operational goal should be to minimize the root mean square error in the CPI.

In most countries, full probability sampling as implemented in the U.S. CPI is a luxury that the statistical agency cannot afford. In other countries the authorities are fortunate if they can use sampling techniques for the first two stages of their design (geographic areas and item categories). Once the geographic areas are selected and the item structure is chosen using a consumer classification structure, the specific items, varieties of items, and outlets are usually judgmentally selected. This is often referred to by the statistical agencies as purposive sampling. Usually this involves selection of the items that are the volume sellers and are expected to be available on a continuous basis. To my knowledge the U.S. is the only country that has implemented a complete probability sample design. The only other country that appears to making serious efforts at full probability sampling is the United Kingdom (Haworth, 1994).

4a. Probability selection of item varieties within outlets

The selection of samples of products and services within outlets involves a process of multistage probability sampling referred to by BLS as disaggregation. The process involves working with a knowledgeable respondent in the outlet to gain information on annual sales for items included in the CPI category that has been chosen during the outlet/category selection process previously described. This process is explained in the CPI technical note (Chapter 19 of U.S. Department of Labor, 1992) and in more detail in Armknecht and Ginsburg (1992). The essential feature is to start at some high level category (the ELI definition) and successively proceed to more narrow specifications until a unique

In the old methodology a quality change was applied to the price in the previous month to make it comparable to the current month's price. Each price still used the same expenditure weight when averaged with other prices to calculate the monthly average price. In the new methodology, the previous price and the base price are adjusted. The implicit quantity weight for each observation is the expenditure weight divided by the base price. Adjusting the base price changes the implicit quantity weight of the observation when it is averaged together with the rest of the sample observations. The efficacy of this approach is re-examined in Armknecht and Moulton (1995).

⁹ Earlier research by Reinsdorf (1993, 1994a) suggested that much of this upward drift might be due to outlet bias because price comparison are not made directly between old and new outlets during the rotation of outlet samples in the CPI. A considerable amount of the divergence observed in the earlier Reinsdorf papers between the trend in average prices and the movements in price indices for CPI can be explained by an estimation bias, which is noted the 1994 paper.

The root mean square error is √[Variance + Bias ²]. Some additional bias can be introduced if it results in larger declines in variance. This is obviously a very difficult process because the measurement of the contribution of bias is not always clear cut.

This is even the case in most industrialized countries. A good example of this issue can be found in documents prepared by Eurostat on their efforts to harmonize the CPI throughout the EU. (See papers from 1994 Ottawa and 1995 Geneva conferences on consumer price measurement.)

product or service is identified. For example, if men's shirts are the category, then the first stage may involve choosing among dress shirts, casual shirts, sports shirts, etc. The next stages may involve choosing among brands, then selecting between short and long sleeve, then perhaps collar type, etc. The process continues until a uniquely described shirt has been selected.

This whole process is not always based on highly accurate sales information. While data collectors are taught to elicit information on sales over the previous year, few respondents within stores have the actual records readily available or are willing to use them for this time consuming process. The respondent most often is providing broad ranged estimates from memory or judgment, and all too frequently data collection agents must resort to equal probability selection. The CPI Quality Assurance staff conducted a study on this process using a sample of disaggregation worksheets returned from the BLS regional offices. The study found that the most frequently used disaggregation technique was ranking. In this type of disaggregation the respondent merely ranks the order of the specific groupings and interval limits can be determined from a ranking table based on the number of groups ranked. In the shirt example, if the stage of disaggregation was choosing between long and short sleeve varieties and the respondent could not provide estimates of the proportions, s/he would be asked to rank them. If the respondent said long sleeve shirts were first, then long-sleeves would be given a 67 percent chance of selection and short sleeves a 33 percent chance. Otherwise, with no information at all, equal probabilities would be assigned.

An alternative to this process would be to use information at the national, regional, and city level for the major retail chains to select the specific items for which prices would be collected. If the outlets can be selected in the second stage of selection, then products, potentially, could be selected within those outlets from information available in company data bases. For some large segment of products such as packaged goods, private companies that use scanner technology such as Nielsen or Information Resources, Inc. could provide information from which samples can be drawn. BLS is currently testing such information sources to assess their applicability. The scanner data would only cover about 20-25 percent of the CPI universe. This means that substantial efforts to work with many retail chains would be necessary. This is one area in which BLS regional offices could play a role. The Chicago office has suggested this as a possibility on more than one occasion. The magnitude of the initial effort to undertake such a program has been thought to be immense. Resources used for sample research and sampling operations would have to be concentrated on such a project for a substantial period of time.

A potential benefit of drawing samples at the national, regional and city level is that once a specification has been determined, the product could be priced in all outlets. Prices for a large number of products priced in most locations would facilitate spatial comparisons across CPI areas. This also would enable the U.S. to participate more readily in the International Comparisons Project for purposes of developing annual price parity comparisons with trading partners.

Another area of potential improvement is that of the optimal sample sizes for strata. In the U.S. the experience has been that the contribution to variance across outlets has been greater than the contribution across items. The research on this subject in Europe has shown the opposite to be the case--the variance across items has had greater contribution to the total than variance across outlets. ¹² The result of this has been that in the U.S. there is more emphasis on getting more outlets in the sample at the expense of fewer items. The marginal cost of getting more outlets is considerably more expensive than securing more quotes because the time and expense of going to another outlet is significantly greater than getting another item within existing outlets. It may be beneficial to examine the reasons for this interesting discrepancy.

See the papers by Eurostat (1995c) and Haworth (1995).

4b. Probability selection of housing units with in area

The current method of selecting housing units for the sample of renters is a timing-consuming and tedious task. BLS selects segments and census blocks from the latest public use files of the most recent census of population and housing. They do not have access to micro level information on address, income and ethnicity. A BLS field agent is given a map for the area with the selected blocks identified. The agent then must list all addresses of housing units within the block. From this list the sample of units is selected using equal probability. It is obvious that this process could be simplified if BLS had access to the micro-level census data to select the renter sample directly. It could also result in more efficient samples by use of all the important stratification variables rather than using simple random samples within blocks.

5. Quality Adjustment in the U.S. CPI

One commonly held misconception about the U.S. CPI is that very little is done about adjusting for quality change when prices change. Some users are aware that quality adjustments are made for new cars, based on cost information provided by producers, when the latest models are introduced. This is the most common example and is cited frequently. The fact is that the decisions about quality differences among products and services are a continuous responsibility of the CPI commodity analyst in the monthly process of CPI data review. Quality adjustments are made both directly and indirectly on a daily basis in the CPI. The timing of the quality adjustment is coincident with the replacement of one sampled product or service with another. On a monthly basis, as some products or services are discontinued and will no longer be sold, a number of new varieties are selected to replace the discontinued ones. This process, referred to as substitution, requires a decision as to whether the replacement is of the same quality as the original product or service. Another opportunity for quality adjustment is when the entire sample for an area is replaced. This is referred to as sample rotation. If the replacement products and services do not have the same level of quality as the originals, then a quality adjustment should be made.

5a. Methods used for making quality adjustments in the U.S. CPI

The different methods used have received much attention in the literature in recent years. Armknecht and Weyback (1989) discuss a variety of methods that are used in the U.S. CPI and estimate the effect of quality adjustment in reducing the CPI change in 1984. Kokoski (1993) presents the results of hedonic regression techniques for measuring the value of quality characteristics across a broad range of items. Liegey (1993 and 1994) presents the application of hedonic regression techniques to the quality adjustment of apparel commodities in the CPI. Reinsdorf, Liegey and Stewart (1995) present examples of indirect, hedonic and new imputation methods for making quality adjustments in the CPI. Armknecht and Moulton (1995) examine standard approaches for making imputations for quality change on a routine basis with information already available within the sample of priced items. Feenstra (1995) provides a theoretical justification for the use of hedonic methods in the case of competitive markets. He points out that in other market situations the results need to be interpreted correctly and that the regression parameters may require further adjustment to measure the precise effect of quality factors. The methods predominantly used in the U.S. CPI are direct adjustments or imputations.

Among the items included in the study, prices rose 3.26 percent. Had there been no quality adjustments, the increase would have been 1.23 percent greater. The study included most commodities and services, but excluded rent.

Direct Adjustments

These methods involve assigning a monetary value to the perceived difference in quality between two products and services as one product replaces another in the market place. In the U.S. this is traditionally done by estimating the value from market information or from producer cost information. The simplest form of this occurs with packed products when items are sold by weight or quantity. Items sold by weight have their price standardized by using the price per ounce or the price per pound. Thus, when a product's gross weight changes (2.5 ounce candy bar is replaced by a 2.3 ounce candy bar), this will be reflected in the index as a price change. Another simple case is when a feature that was optional becomes standard, then the cost of the new standard feature can be estimated by its market price. An example would be a television set with an optional remote control. If this is made standard and becomes part of the purchase price, the quality adjustment to the old price is straightforward.

In more complex situations such as with automobiles, the manufacturers' provide detailed information on changes that they believe improve their product between model years, the marginal costs of those changes, and descriptions of how the vehicles have improved. BLS analysts then decide which of the changes contribute to quality improvements and they estimate both the production and retail values of the quality changes. These values, as a percentage of price, are applied to the appropriate price observations in the PPI and CPI, respectively.

Another method for making direct quality adjustments is to estimate the value of any changes in product characteristics by means of hedonic regression models. In this approach the price of the product is assumed to be definable in terms of its major characteristics which, in turn, define the quality aspects of the product. In the hedonic model the price of the product is regressed on each of these characteristics and the resulting parameter estimates can be interpreted as the value that each characteristic contributes to the total price of the product. Then, as the quality characteristics change, the parameter estimates can be used to value the quality change.

Imputations

In these methods, quality adjustments are estimated with information available in the sample of price observations used for calculating the CPI. Overlap pricing involves having market prices available for the old and new variety of product at the same point in time. The observed difference in price between the varieties during this overlapping period is held to be the amount of the quality difference. The price index for the current month is calculated using the old variety's price and the index for the subsequent period will use the prices for the new variety. This method was rarely used in the U.S. CPI and has been discontinued because the two varieties of the product--old and new--were hardly ever available during the same period.¹⁵

The most frequently used quality adjustment technique in the U.S. CPI is the linking or splicing method. In this method the price change of all observations in the area/item stratum are used to estimate the price change for the old, discontinued variety. The price difference between this imputed price of the old variety and the current price of the new variety is the quality difference that is implicitly left out of the index calculation. This form of the linking technique is referred to as "overall mean" imputation.

-

BLS announces in a press release each October the average amount of the quality adjustment for new model automobiles. They also have available detailed guidelines on the types of improvements that will be acceptable as quality changes.

Excluded from the overlap price approach were products considered be at "close-out" prices in which the old variety would no longer be re-ordered and existing inventory was sold at markedly reduced prices.

The "overall mean" procedure may have an inherent bias associated with its use. For many products price change usually occurs when new varieties or models are introduced. This is quite common for new vehicles, home electronics and appliances, and women's apparel. When new models of some products are introduced, older models of similar products are usually available and may show little price change or actual declines in price. The use of price change for these products to estimate the price change of new models would cause a downward bias by underestimating the true price changes of new models.

An alternative "class mean" imputation is now being used in such cases. In this case a new substratum is defined in which only new replacement models that are comparable in quality are used to estimate the price change within the area/item stratum. This substratum consists of observations where (1) the new model has been introduced and determined to be of comparable quality or (2) the new model has had its price directly quality adjusted to make it of comparable quality.

5b. Quality adjustments coincident with sample rotations

In addition to the monthly quality adjustments, another form of quality adjustment is implicit in the sample rotation process. Each year the outlet and item samples are reselected in about 17 of 88 PSUs that represent urban areas of the U.S. During the course of the year each PSU is brought into the CPI through a process of overlap pricing. Prices in the old sample and new sample are collected in the same month. The old sample is used in the index during this double collection month. In the following month (referred to as the link month), the new sample is used to represent price change. All price level differences between the old and new samples are treated as quality differences. This approach applies the overlap method of quality adjustment discussed above. There is no direct comparison between the prices in the new sample with those in the old sample.¹⁶

Whether or not this overlap linking technique for introducing new samples is appropriate has been of considerable debate. Anecdotal evidence can be found to support both contentions. Many people believe that there is no difference in quality between identical products sold in full service department stores versus discount or mass merchandise outlets. The 1993 System of National Accounts manual (1993, p. 398) published by the United Nations advises that the same product sold in different types of outlets should be considered of different qualities due the different levels of services provided as part of the sales transaction by the outlets. Reinsdorf (1993) found small differences, lower on average, between the average price of grocery store food prices and gasoline prices after sample rotations. Fixler (1993, pp. 8-9) notes that the overlap linking approach has theoretical underpinnings in a cost-of-living framework, but "...can cause the difference between the CPI and an ideal CLI to be larger than it would be if value of retail services were properly accounted for." This approach may or may not be warranted and further empirical testing on the value of retail services is needed. Hedonic models similar to those found in Kokoski (1993) with additional price collection on outlet characteristics is being pursued to assist in providing the additional information.

Such a comparison would not be possible. The new sample will generally contain both new outlets and ELIs within outlets. The probability selection process within new outlets will more frequently than not yield different varieties of an item than those in the old sample.

There were two types of comparisons made in Reinsdorf's 1993 article. One noted the difference in price trends between the average price of grocery store items and the price indexes for similar items. The second noted the differences in average prices before and after sample rotation. The large differences in trends between average price and price indexes has been subsequently shown by Reinsdorf (1994b) and Moulton and Smedley (1995) to be due to the functional form bias in the CPI estimator.

Sample rotation is the primary source by which totally new goods enter the U.S. CPI. When a specific variety is no longer available during monthly pricing, the variety that most closely fits the specifications of the old variety is selected to replace it. If there are varieties that are a close match, then one of them will be selected even though the type of product is becoming obsolete (e.g., records and compact discs). This is not too say that new goods will not enter the sample in this way. They can, but the outlet has to discontinue sales of all close matches to the old variety. While product substitutions result in mostly replacement varieties for existing products, it is during sample rotation that the whole category of items (ELI) is open for potential selection. When the new good enters the index through sample rotation, it is because the product had sufficient market share to be selected within the ELI. The new good has no immediate effect on the index because of the overlap linking procedure. So if, for example, compact discs appear in the new sample and records are dropped in the old sample, no price change is recorded between the two items and the difference in price level is assumed to be the amount of quality difference.

5c. Quality adjustments in medical care

In the U.S. CPI the price of medical services usually relates to a single service such as an office visit to a physician or a day's charge for a hospital room. The pricing of medical services is discussed in more detail by Armknecht and Ginsburg (1992). This specific service approach has come under criticism because it does not take into account enough of the factors surrounding medical services to allow for adequate changes in quality. When the fee for a doctor's office visit changes because of the addition of a test or an increase or decrease in the time with the patient, the quality adjustment is straight forward. But when pricing separate services such as that for a surgeon, hospital room, operating room, etc., there is no opportunity to include improvements in efficiency that patients might experience such as shorter stays in the hospital, less intensive use of the operating room because of improved procedures, or fewer visits with the surgeon. For this reason, the CPI medical services analysts have been evaluating and, hopefully, planning to switch to a treatment path approach, similar to that used in the PPI for hospitals. This involves selecting a specific treatment that a patient would receive and collecting the price for that treatment. So when treatment technology improves and the price of the treatment path is lowered, this will be reflected in the index. This will help resolve some major issues such as shortening of hospital stays following surgery or heart attack.

Such an approach still will not solve the problem of major medical breakthroughs that entirely change treatment technology nor adjust for the fact that some procedures become less risky/more successful. If, for example, treatment in the emergency room prevents major surgery, reduces the stay of the patient, and improves that survival rate, this should be reflected as a quality improvement in medical services. The current and the treatment path approaches still cannot adequately handle such quality improvements. A new dimension needs to be included in the pricing of medical services that includes outcomes, so that if cancer treatment results in improved survival rates, this is reflected in the index. This area appears to have promise and is one that also needs to be pursued as part of the research agenda in quality adjustment.

6. Summary and Conclusions

It is clear that there are a number of areas in the U.S. CPI where improvements can be made and efficiencies can be achieved. The primary areas that have been reviewed in this paper are (1) the measurement objective of the CPI and the estimation formula used, (2) the approach to probability sampling and the availability of more efficient sources of data, and (3) the issue of quality adjustments in the CPI and the introduction of new goods into the sample. In this paper the solutions suggested provide specific directions for change as opposed to complete, precise prescriptions. By their nature, the solutions are general approaches and lack a great degree of detail. Nonetheless, they should be helpful in terms of

finding efficiency improvements. However, there are a great many details to worked out and as we all know "the devil is in the details."

6a. Measurement objective and estimation formula

The preponderance of evidence that there is a formula bias is overwhelming and a change is needed to move to a more accurate estimation system. The question then becomes "what is the measurement objective?" Should there be an attempt to provide the best measure of a Laspeyres index or should the attempt be to measure as close as possible a cost-of-living index? Currently BLS technical publications (U.S. Department of Labor, 1992, p. 177) say:

"The CPI uses a fixed market basket to hold the base-period living standard constant. The CPI equals the ratio of the cost of the base-period basket at this month's prices to the actual cost of the base-period basket in the base period. The formula used for calculating the CPI is the one known in price index literature as the Laspeyres index."

At the same time BLS notes that "A unifying framework for dealing with practical questions that arise in construction of the CPI is provided by the concept of the cost-of-living (COL) index." With all the recent discussion that has taken place about the U.S. CPI, it would appear that users expect the CPI to measure as close as possible a cost-of-living index.

Given this user objective, it becomes clear that the appropriate index formula for use in the CPI at the second stage of aggregation should be one of the superlative indices--either the Fisher or the Törnqvist index. The practical issue is that these indices cannot be calculated for current periods due to the lack of current period weights. Weights for current periods are at least a year behind due to processing constraints related to the CE survey and, because of small sample sizes, three years worth of information must be used to obtain the area/item weights for the 9,108 strata. It would be possible, however, to compute a Fisher or Törnqvist index with a two year delay. Such a scenario would mean that the CPI is subject to revision for a period of up to three years. This is not unprecedented since other major series such as payroll employment, hours and earnings, productivity, and GDP estimates are all subject to revisions at later dates. It would be a significant change in policy, however, to move to annual or biannual weight updates.

In addition, the current period estimates of CPI and all the micro-level indices (first stage of aggregation) should use a formula that gives estimates closer to a true cost-of-living index than the current Laspeyres estimator. The geometric mean estimator, which is closely associated to the Törnqvist index if the expenditure shares are relatively stable, would be the most likely candidate. In this scenario the CPI would be revised every year with new weights that are two years old. The historical CPI series would be a chained superlative index while the most recent two years of information would be a geometric mean index. Using such an approach is similar to the one adopted by BEA in the measurement of real GDP. Given the fact that the weights do not change drastically from year to year and that there has historically been steady growth in inflation over the shorter periods, the magnitude of revisions should be minimal,

See Triplett (1992), Young (1992 and 1993), and Landefeld and Parker (1995). BEA uses an annually chained Laspeyres index. However, given the serious estimation problems with the average of price ratios formula used in the CPI, it does not seem appropriate to shift to use the Laspeyres estimator.

Fisher indices, as discussed earlier, will not be transitive in aggregation. Törnqvist indices can be made transitive in aggregation as discussed in Kokoski, Moulton, and Zieschang (1996).

say within 0.1 to 0.2 percent on the annual change. This certainly is a scenario that can be simulated with the information BLS has at its disposal.²⁰

A slightly different alternative would be to project the weights for the current year based on historical patterns. If there were some standard, accepted model or practice for doing so, this could be viable. However, there is no such forecasting model that is standardized and widely accepted. Most such models would be sensitive to the underlying assumptions. So while this alternative is practical, this would put BLS in a very sensitive position of forecasting the weighting pattern that it will use for current estimation. Such a process could open Pandora's box should any politicization whatsoever of the forecasts be intimated. For this reason I would not recommend such a solution.

6b. New approaches to probability sampling

Probability sampling is an extremely costly endeavor compared with judgmental sampling. This is the primary reason that most countries do not have full probability sampling in their CPI. In the U.S. CPI the number of geographic areas required is driven by the population size and income of urban areas within the U.S. as well as the precision of the final estimate of price change. This would argue for maintaining the current area sample with some potential for growth as population and income changes dictate. However, it is not clear that it is cost effective to re-draw the area sample in entirety with each population census. Adding additional areas when required and updating population weights would make more sense.

Where more savings might be achieved is drawing samples of outlets and items from data sources that already exist and can be tapped to provide the type of detailed information needed for probability sampling. Developing redundant surveys and maintaining redundant universe files forces gross inefficiencies on the statistical system. Such information is available in the records produced by the population and business censuses and in company data bases. What is needed is the ability for federal statistical agencies to have access to such universe files for sampling purposes. There is also a need to develop a partnership with the private sector to obtain cooperation and access to company sales records for sampling purposes.

Census records can be used to draw item and outlet samples similar to those currently available (perhaps even better) for the nation, regions and cities. Specific items and varieties could be selected for a national component (items so common they are priced everywhere) and regional and local components (supplemental items where there are regional and local variations). At the local level, where specific local varieties are important, selection from company records or other secondary sources (Nielsen and other private marketing firms), practically all available in electronic format, could be tapped. As part of this process, an emphasis on standardizing national and regional specifications for items should be instituted to enable spatial comparisons across cities for domestic analysis and across the country for international comparisons. This is an important aspect of the CPI where there is an increasing need on the part of stakeholders.²¹

21 It is very difficult to explain to Congressional staff and many users of local CPI data that they cannot make comparisons across geographic areas. In any redesign of the sampling process this is a very important aspect. With a larger number of observations of common products, the interarea comparisons model used by the price research staff could be used annually to measure interarea differentials. See Kokoski, Cardiff, and Moulton (1994) and Kokoski, Moulton, and Zieschang (1996).

Estimates using the geometric mean formula for recent years appear in Moulton and Smedley (1995) and estimates of chained Fisher and Törnqvist indices appear in Aizcorbe and Jackman (1993).

6c. Quality adjustment and introduction of new goods

Significantly more quality adjustment takes place in the CPI than most users are aware. The problem is that the quality adjustment is associated with the replacement of one very similar variety with another. As long as close substitutes are available, even if they have significant declines in their market share, aging products will not be replaced with newer technologies. Historically in the U.S. CPI a product continued to be priced as long as it was available. When a product was no longer available and there was not a close substitute within the ELI category definition, no product was priced. This pattern has changed gradually over time. In the 1987 revision, the item categories were more broadly defined so that when products disappeared, a replacement product with similar functionality could be introduced in its place. This doesn't solve the problem of declining market share of certain products and increasing market share for new products. What is needed is a systematic pattern of sample re-initiation in those categories where new products are emerging.²² In this way, these categories could be re-selected every year or two to enable new market entries into the CPI sample more quickly. One possibility is to monitor more closely the new products that are being introduced through the diary and interview surveys in the CE. This would have to be done systematically. In addition, a formal procedure should be instituted for commodity analysts to identify newly emerging products that are identified from trade sources and commercial vendors. BLS field staff can be requested to identify, on an ongoing basis, those products that are gaining popularity. Such sources can be used as indicators of categories that potentially are eligible for sample reinitiation. Also, if an annual weight update process were adopted, these new products could more readily be introduced within categories as part of that process.

Even with such a system in place, an issue arises concerning the price trend from the time the item was first introduced in the market until the time it appears in the CPI sample. With current procedures all new samples would be introduced using the overlap link procedure. Any influence the new product would have on the index begins after its introduction. Any difference in price level between old and new products would be the amount of the implicit quality adjustment. Diewert (1987) suggests estimating the shadow (market reservation) price of the new product just before introduction. If this could be done, an adjustment might be possible to the price trend of the series during the annual updating process by replacing the old product's price with the estimates of the new product's. This, however, would be a data management nightmare and would raise questions about the integrity of the index with the use of estimated prices. It is also not clear how accurate any estimated price would be. It is possible that information from computer records could be used for transaction prices, but the initial shadow price may have to be estimated using hedonic techniques as suggested by Griliches (1990). This approach was worrisome to Feenstra because of potential bias in the regression parameters (1995, pp. 34-5). The best solution for new products in the CPI may be to include them as soon as possible and not worry about the price trend from the introduction point. The weight of such items in the index would be very small at the time of introduction, and it does not seem feasible to assume that they would have a substantially large impact on the index.

One final note relates to two areas where additional, promising research needs to be continued at a heightened level. The first issue is that of quality adjustment of items across sample rotations. Given some of the suggestions discussed previously, where samples would include a core of standard specifications, it would be possible to observe outlet effects for specific products. Modeling techniques could be possible to develop adjustment factors by type of outlet and other characteristics. Further research is warranted to determine the magnitude of such effects and the realism of the assumptions

The current plans in the CPI revision call for category re-initiation through the POPS process. The original plan called for a four year rotation cycle. This, however, is not quick enough for introducing new goods that may gain significant market share in six months or one year.

underlying the overlap link technique. The second issue is quality adjustment of medical services and using an outcomes approach to pricing these services. Some promising work is being accomplished in this area using outside researchers. Given the limited staffing patterns that may be on the horizon for the CPI within BLS, some savings from other efficiencies should be set aside for additional contracts on conceptual and empirical research projects.

6d. Final considerations

As a final point of emphasis (even if it is overly redundant), two major institutional/statistical policy changes are required to achieve many of the efficiencies and improvements discussed in this paper. The first is that the CPI staff need access to the detail files from the censuses of population and business for statistical purposes. Legislation has frequently been proposed to enable sharing of such data but has always run into obstacles from one of the agencies involved. The simple solution would be to pass enabling legislation for BLS and Census to share data. The more complex solutions involve national statistical legislation covering all agencies and/or merging of the Federal statistical agencies into a single central statistical office. These are policy issues that require administration and congressional action.

The second change relates to the policy of revising the CPI data historically. Most other major economic surveys allow for periodic revisions of historical data, recognizing that all the required information for accurate estimation is not available at the time of the first estimates. BLS has a correction policy for the CPI that enables revision of the CPI when errors in data collection, processing, or procedures are uncovered that affect the all items CPI by more than 0.1 %. However, this has little effect in practice because there are few errors large enough to impact the national index by that large an amount. It would appear reasonable, however, in light of the evidence of the substitution and other potential biases to reexamine the policy of no historical changes and allow for revisions (annually or bi-annually) to data over a specific time period (2-3 years). The CPI is not the only data series that is used for escalation purposes (although it is the most important one). Users can be provided with sufficient notification of such a change and advice provided on appropriate wording to add to new contracts. Also, a mechanism can be developed to provide beneficiaries of Federal programs with adjustments based on the cumulative effects of any revisions.

Related to this policy change is the reconstruction of series back in time that will be comparable to the new series when it is introduced. When BLS introduced the rental equivalency measure for home ownership in the CPI-U in 1983, the official series was not revised for historical comparability. This has caused a great deal of consternation in the academic community through the years because the CPI has a serious conceptual break that most users are not aware of. While BLS did develop the CPI-U-X1 series going back to 1967 as a comparable measure, it is not widely known that this series exists and only the more sophisticated users, who begin questioning the different movements in the series historically, find out about its existence. If and when a new series using a superlative index methodology is introduced, BLS should make an effort to reconstruct the historical series backwards as far as possible. At a minimum, the series should begin in 1992 since this is the time period that BLS researchers have been using to test the effects of different estimators (Moulton and Smedley, 1995; Armknecht, Moulton and Stewart, 1994). The new series also should have an impact on measures of real GDP and productivity since the annual change in the CPI will be lower than originally published based on the new methodology. The CPI is a major series used in deflation of nominal components of personal

-

The rental equivalency measure for owner's equivalent rent was introduced in the CPI-W in 1985. The CPI-W series should be discontinued, as recommended on numerous occasions, but this is another issue Congress has been unwilling to deal with through the years.

consumption expenditures, the largest component of GDP by expenditures. Revisions to real GDP will, in turn, result in changes to productivity measures in the same direction.

This is an exciting time for the U.S. CPI because it has had a great deal of attention focused on many of its weak points. With this focus many users are waiting to see what improvements can be introduced to resolve these shortcomings. BLS has a new opportunity to examine the underlying measurement objective of the program and change it to meet stakeholders needs and expectations. It also is the time to highlight the necessary practical conditions that would be involved for any such change in focus to be implemented.

References

- Aizcorbe, A. M., and P. C. Jackman, 1993. "The Commodity Substitution Effect in the CPI Data, 1982-91," *Monthly Labor Review*, December, 25-33.
- Armknecht, P. A., 1984. "Quality Adjustment in the CPI and Methods to Improve It," *Proceedings of the Business and Economic Statistics Section*, Washington, D.C.: American Statistical Association, 57-63.
- Armknecht, P. A., and D. H. Ginsburg, 1992. "Improvements in Measuring Price Changes in Consumer Services: Past, Present and Future," in *Output Measurement in the Services Sector*, Zvi Griliches, ed., National Bureau of Economic Research: University of Chicago Press.
- Armknecht, P. A., and B. R. Moulton, 1995. "Quality Adjustment in Price Indices: Methods for Imputing Price and Quality Change," paper prepared for the Second International Conference on Price Indices, November 15-17.
- Armknecht, P. A., B. R. Moulton, and K. J. Stewart, 1994. "Improvements to the Food at Home, Shelter and Prescription Drug Indexes in the U.S. Consumer Price Index," paper presented at the International Conference on Price Statistics, October 31-November 2, Ottawa: Statistics Canada.
- Armknecht, P. A., and D. Weyback, 1989. "Adjustments for quality change in the U.S. Consumer Price Index," *Journal of Official Statistics* 5, 107-123.
- Dalen, J., 1992. "Computing Elementary Aggregates in the Swedish Consumer Price Index," *Journal of Official Statistics* 8, 129-147.
- Dalen, J., 1994. "Sensitivity Analysis for Harmonising European Consumer Price Indices," paper presented at the International Conference on Price Statistics, October 31-November 2, Ottawa: Statistics Canada, 147-171.
- Diewert, W. E., 1976. "Exact and Superlative Index Numbers," Journal of Econometrics 4, 115-125.
- Diewert, W. E., 1978. "Superlative Index Numbers and Consistency of Aggregation," *Econometrica* 46, 883-990.
- Diewert, W. E., 1987. "Index Numbers," In *The New Palgrave: A Dictionary of Economics* 2, J. Eatwell, M. Milgate and P Newman, eds., London: Macmillan Press, 767-780.
- Diewert, W. E., 1989. "Exact and Superlative Welfare Change Indicators," University of British Columbia Discussion Papers, 89-27, Vancouver: Department of Economics, UBC.
- Diewert, W. E., 1995. "Axiomatic and Economic Approaches to Elementary Price Indexes," University of British Columbia Discussion Papers, 95-01, Vancouver: Department of Economics, UBC.
- Dippo, C. S., and C. A. Jacobs, 1983. "Area Sample Redesign of the Consumer Price Index," in *Proceedings of the Survey Research Methods Section*, American Statistical Association, 118-123.
- Early, J. F., and J. H. Sinclair, 1983. "Quality adjustment in the producer price indexes." In *The U.S. National Income and Product Accounts: Selected Topics*, ed. Murray F. Foss, Chicago, Ill.: University of Chicago Press.

- Eichhorn, W., 1978. Functional Equations in Economics, London: Addison-Wesley.
- Eichhorn, W., and J. Voeller, 1976. *Theory of the Price Index*, Lecture Notes in Economics and Mathematical Systems 140, Berlin: Sringer-Verlag.
- Eurostat, 1995a. "The 'Comparability' of CPIs in the European Union," paper presented at the joint ECE/ILO Meeting on Consumer Price Indices, November 20-24, Geneva: UN Statistical Commission and Economic Commission for Europe.
- Eurostat, 1995b. "Harmonisation of Consumer Price Indices: Progress Report," paper presented at the joint ECE/ILO Meeting on Consumer Price Indices, November 20-24, Geneva: UN Statistical Commission and Economic Commission for Europe.
- Eurostat, 1995c. "A Note on Sampling Errors in European CPIs," paper presented at the joint ECE/ILO Meeting on Consumer Price Indices, November 20-24, Geneva: UN Statistical Commission and Economic Commission for Europe.
- Feenstra, R. C., 1995. "Exact Hedonic Price Indexes," National Bureau of Economic Research, Working Paper No. 5061, Cambridge: NBER.
- Fisher, F. M., and K. Shell, 1972. Economic Theory of Price Indexes, New York: Academic Press.
- Fisher, I., 1927. The Making of Index Numbers, 3rd edition, Boston: Houghton Mifflin Co.
- Fixler, D., 1993. "The Consumer Price Index: Underlying Concepts and Caveats," *Monthly Labor Review*, December, 3-12.
- Forsyth, F. G., and R. F. Fowler, 1981. "The Theory and Practice of Chain Price Index Numbers," *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society*, A 144, 224-246.
- Griliches, Z., 1971. "Introduction: Hedonic Price Indexes Revisited." In *Price Indexes and Quality Change*, Z. Griliches, ed., Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Griliches, Z., 1990. "Hedonic Price Indexes and the Measurement of Capital and Productivity," in *Fifty Years of Economic Measurement*, E. R. Berndt and J. E. Triplett, eds., Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 185-202.
- Haworth, M., 1994. "Sampling and Data Capture Issues in CPI Construction," paper presented at the International Conference on Price Statistics, October 31-November 2, Ottawa: Statistics Canada, 3-43
- Haworth, M., 1995. "Sampling Errors in the UK Retail Prices Index," paper presented at the joint ECE/ILO Meeting on Consumer Price Indices, November 20-24, Geneva: UN Statistical Commission and Economic Commission for Europe.
- Kokoski, M. F.,1993. "Quality Adjustment of Price Indexes," *Monthly Labor Review*, December, 34-46.
- Kokoski, M. F., P. Cardiff, and B. R. Moulton, 1994. "Interarea Price Indexes for Consumer Goods and Services: An Hedonic Approach Using CPI Data," BLS Working Paper 256, Washington D.C.: U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics.

- Kokoski, M. F., B. R. Moulton, and K. Zieschang, 1996. "Interarea Price Comparisons for Heterogeneous Goods and Several Levels of Commodity Aggregation," paper presented at NBER/CRIW conference on International Comparisons of Prices, Income and Output," March 15-16.
- Landefeld, J. S., and R. P. Parker, 1995. "Preview of the Comprehensive Revision of the National Income and Product Accounts: BEA's New Featured Measures of Output and Prices," *Survey of Current Business*, July, 31-38.
- Leaver, S. G., W. L. Weber, M. P. Cohen and K. P. Archer, 1987. "Determining an optimal item-outlet sample design for the 1987 U.S. Consumer Price Index revision." In *Proceedings of the 46th Session of the International Statistical Institute*, 173-186. Geneva, Switzerland: International Statistical Institute.
- Liegey, P. R, 1993. "Quality Adjustment of Apparel Commodities in the CPI," in *Price Measurements and Their Uses*, M. F. Foss, M. Manser and A. Young, eds., National Bureau of Economic Research: University of Chicago Press.
- Liegey, P. R., 1994. "Apparel Price Indexes: Effects of Hedonic Adjustment," *Monthly Labor Review*, May, 38-45.
- Moulton, B. R., 1993. "Basic Components of the CPI: Estimation of Price Changes," *Monthly Labor Review*, December, 13-24.
- Moulton, B. R. and K. E. Smedley, 1995. "A Comparison of Estimators for Elementary Aggregates of the CPI," paper presented at the Western Economic Association International Conference, San Diego, CA, July.
- Norwood, J. L., 1995. *Organizing to Count: Change in the Federal Statistical System*, Washington, D.C.: The Urban Institute.
- Pollak, R. A., 1989. The Theory of the Cost-of-Living Index, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Reinsdorf, M., 1993. "The Outlet Effect of Price Differentials in the U.S. Consumer Price Index," in *Price Measurements and Their Uses*, M. F. Foss, M. Manser and A. Young, eds., National Bureau of Economic Research: University of Chicago Press.
- Reinsdorf, M., 1994a. "The Effects of Price Dispersion on Cost of Living Indexes," *International Economic Review*, 35, 137-49.
- Reinsdorf, M., 1994b. "Price Dispersion, Seller Substitution and the U.S. CPI," Working Paper 252,: Washington, D.C.: Bureau of Labor Statistics.
- Reinsdorf, M., P. Liegey, and K. Stewart, 1995. "New Ways of Handling Quality Changes in the U.S. Consumer Price Index," paper presented at the Western Economic Association International Conference, San Diego, CA, July.
- Reinsdorf, M., and B. R. Moulton, 1994. "The Construction of Basic Components of Cost-of-Living Indexes," NBER Conference on New Goods, T. Bresnahan and R. J. Gordon, eds., ., National Bureau of Economic Research: University of Chicago Press (forthcoming).

- Samuelson, P. S., 1983. *Foundations of Economic Analysis*, (enlarged edition), Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Schultz, B. J., 1983. "Linking Price Index Numbers," in *Price Level Measurement*, W. E. Diewert and C. Montmarquette, eds., Ottawa: Statistics Canada, 537-566.
- Schultz, B. J., 1995. "Choice of Price Index Formulae at the Micro-Aggregation Level: The Canadian Experience," Prices Division, Ottawa: Statistics Canada, June.
- Triplett, J. E., 1969. "Automobiles and Hedonic Quality Measurement," *Journal of Political Economy* 77: 408-417.
- Triplett, J. E., 1971a. "Quality bias in price indexes and new methods of quality measurement," In *Price Indexes and Quality Change*, ed. Z. Griliches. Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press.
- Triplett, J. E., 1971b. "The theory of Hedonic Quality Measurement and its Use in Price Indexes," BLS Staff Paper 6. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics.
- Triplett, J. E., 1992. "Economic Theory and BEA's Alternative Quantity and Price Indexes," *Survey of Current Business*, April, 49-52.
- Turvey, R. et al., 1989. Consumer Price Indices: An ILO Manual. Geneva: International Labour Office.
- United Nations, 1993. System of National Accounts, 1993. New York: United Nations.
- U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1992. *BLS Handbook of Methods*. Bulletin 2414. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics.
- U.S. Senate, Committee on Finance, 1995. "Consumer Price Index: Hearings before the Committee on Finance, United States Senate, 104th Congress, 1st Session," Senate Hearing 104-69, March 13, April 6, and June 6, 1995, Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office.
- Young, A. H., 1992. "Alternative Measures of Change in Real Output and Prices," *Survey of Current Business*, April, 32-48.
- Young, A. H., 1993. "Alternative Measures of Change in Real Output and Price, Quarterly Estimates for 1959-92" *Survey of Current Business*, March, 31-37.
- Viglino, L., 1995. "On the Usefulness of the Geometric Mean," paper presented at the joint ECE/ILO Meeting on Consumer Price Indices, November 20-24, Geneva: UN Statistical Commission and Economic Commission for Europe.
- Woolford, K., 1994. "A Pragmatic Approach to the Selection of Appropriate Index Formulae," paper presented at the International Conference on Price Statistics, October 31-November 2, Ottawa: Statistics Canada, 173-193.

Table 1. Alternative price indices

		1993			1994			1995	
	Price/ lb.	Quantity	Expen- ditures	Price/ lb.	Quantity	Expen- ditures	Price/lb.	Quantity	Expen- ditures
Apples	\$0.25	80	\$20.00	\$0.50	50	\$25.00	\$0.50	40	\$20.00
Bananas	\$0.50	30	\$15.00	\$0.25	60	\$15.00	\$0.50	40	\$20.00
Oranges	\$0.25	60	\$15.00	\$0.50	40	\$20.00	\$0.25	80	\$20.00
Grapes	\$1.00	10	\$10.00	\$0.75	20	\$15.00	\$1.00	20	\$20.00
Pears	\$0.50	20	\$10.00	\$0.30	50	\$15.00	\$0.25	80	\$20.00
Fresh Fruit		200	\$70.00		220	\$90.00		260	\$100.00
Expenditures at 1993 quantities			\$70.00			\$ 91.00			\$ 85.00
Expenditures at 1994 quantities			\$97.50			\$ 90.00			\$ 97.50
Expenditures at 1995 quantities			\$110.00			\$ 109.00			\$ 100.00
	1993	1994	1995				1993	1994	1995
	(Quantity Shar	e					Price Indices	
Apples	0.4000	0.2273	0.1538		Laspeyres	(1993 base)	100.00	130.00	121.43
Bananas	0.1500	0.2727	0.1538		Paasche	(1993 base)	100.00	92.31	90.91
Oranges	0.3000	0.1818	0.3077		Geometric Mean ('93)		100.00	108.76	110.41
Grapes	0.0500	0.0909	0.0769		Fisher		100.00	109.54	105.07
Pears	0.1000	0.2273	0.3077		Törnqvist		100.00	109.52	105.08
	1993	1994	1995				1993	1994	1995
	Ex	xpenditureSha	are						
Apples	0.2857	0.2778	0.2000		Chain Laspeyres		100.00	130.00	108.33
Bananas	0.2143	0.1667	0.2000		Chain Paasche		100.00	92.31	91.74
Oranges	0.2143	0.2222	0.2000		Chain Geomean		100.00	108.76	97.93
Grapes	0.1429	0.1667	0.2000		Chain Fisher		100.00	109.54	99.69
Pears	0.1429	0.1667	0.2000		Chain Törnqvist		100.00	109.52	100.01